



**Auditing and Assurance Standards Council**

---

**Philippine Standard on Auditing 320 (Revised and Redrafted)**

**MATERIALITY IN PLANNING AND PERFORMING  
AN AUDIT**

**Philippine Standard on Auditing 450 (Revised and Redrafted)**

**EVALUATION OF MISSTATEMENTS IDENTIFIED  
DURING THE AUDIT**

**Conforming Amendments to Other PSAs**

## **CONTENTS**

	Page
Philippine Standard on Auditing (PSA) 320 (Revised and Redrafted), “Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit” .....	3
PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted), “Evaluation of Misstatements Identified during the Audit” .....	12
Conforming Amendments	
PSA 230 (Redrafted), “Audit Documentation” .....	26
PSA 260 (Revised and Redrafted), “Communication with Those Charged with Governance” .....	26
PSA 300 (Redrafted), “Planning an Audit of Financial Statements” .....	26
PSA 315 (Redrafted), “Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatements Through Understanding the Entity and Its Environment” .....	27
PSA 540 (Revised and Redrafted), “Auditing Accounting Estimates, Including Fair Value Accounting Estimates, and Related Disclosures” .....	27
PSA 600 (Revised and Redrafted), “Special Considerations— Audits of Group Financial Statements (Including the Work of Component Auditors)” .....	28

**PHILIPPINE STANDARD ON AUDITING 320\***  
**(REVISED AND REDRAFTED)**

**MATERIALITY IN PLANNING AND PERFORMING AN AUDIT**  
(Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2009)

**CONTENTS**

	Paragraph
<b>Introduction</b>	
Scope of this PSA.....	1
Materiality in the Context of an Audit.....	2-6
Effective Date.....	7
<b>Objective</b> .....	8
<b>Definition</b> .....	9
<b>Requirements</b>	
Determining Materiality and Performance Materiality when Planning the Audit.....	10-11
Revision as the Audit Progresses.....	12-13
Documentation.....	14
<b>Application and Other Explanatory Material</b>	
Materiality and Audit Risk.....	A1
Determining Materiality and Performance Materiality when Planning the Audit.....	A2-A12
Revision as the Audit Progresses.....	A13
<b>Acknowledgment</b>	

Philippine Standard on Auditing (PSA) 320 (Revised and Redrafted), “Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit” should be read in the context of PSA 200 (Revised and Redrafted), “Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Philippine Standards on Auditing.”

\* [References in this PSA to other PSAs that have not yet been finalized reflect the working titles of those PSAs. The references are indicated in brackets and will be updated when those PSAs are finalized.]

## **Introduction**

### **Scope of this PSA**

1. This Philippine Standard on Auditing (PSA) deals with the auditor's responsibility to apply the concept of materiality in planning and performing an audit of financial statements. PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted)<sup>1</sup> explains how materiality is applied in evaluating the effect of identified misstatements on the audit and of uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements.

### **Materiality in the Context of an Audit**

2. Financial reporting frameworks often discuss the concept of materiality in the context of the preparation and presentation of financial statements. Although financial reporting frameworks may discuss materiality in different terms, they generally explain that:
  - Misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements;
  - Judgments about materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances, and are affected by the size or nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both; and
  - Judgments about matters that are material to users of the financial statements are based on a consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group.<sup>2</sup> The possible effect of misstatements on specific individual users, whose needs may vary widely, is not considered.
3. Such a discussion, if present in the applicable financial reporting framework, provides a frame of reference to the auditor in determining materiality for the audit. If the applicable financial reporting framework does not include a discussion of the concept of materiality, the characteristics referred to in paragraph 2 provide the auditor with such a frame of reference.

---

<sup>1</sup> PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted), "Evaluation of Misstatements Identified during the Audit."

<sup>2</sup> For example, the "Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements," adopted by the Philippine Financial Reporting Standards Council, indicates that, for a profit-oriented entity, as investors are providers of risk capital to the enterprise, the provision of financial statements that meet their needs will also meet most of the needs of other users that financial statements can satisfy.

4. The auditor's determination of materiality is a matter of professional judgment, and is affected by the auditor's perception of the financial information needs of users of the financial statements. In this context, it is reasonable for the auditor to assume that users:
  - (a) Have a reasonable knowledge of business and economic activities and accounting and a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable diligence;
  - (b) Understand that financial statements are prepared, presented and audited to levels of materiality;
  - (c) Recognize the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgment and the consideration of future events; and
  - (d) Make reasonable economic decisions on the basis of the information in the financial statements.
5. The concept of materiality is applied by the auditor both in planning and performing the audit, and in evaluating the effect of identified misstatements on the audit and of uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements and in forming the opinion in the auditor's report. (Ref: Para. A1)
6. In planning the audit, the auditor makes judgments about the size of misstatements that will be considered material. These judgments provide a basis for:
  - (a) Determining the nature, timing and extent of risk assessment procedures;
  - (b) Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement; and
  - (c) Determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures.

The materiality determined when planning the audit does not necessarily establish an amount below which uncorrected misstatements, individually or in the aggregate, will always be evaluated as immaterial. The circumstances related to some misstatements may cause the auditor to evaluate them as material even if they are below materiality. Although it is not practicable to design audit procedures to detect misstatements that could be material solely because of their nature, the auditor considers not only the size but also the nature of uncorrected misstatements, and the particular circumstances of their occurrence, when evaluating their effect on the financial statements.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted), paragraph A16.

**Effective Date**

7. This PSA is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2009.

**Objective**

8. The objective of the auditor is to apply the concept of materiality appropriately in planning and performing the audit.

**Definition**

9. For purposes of the PSAs, performance materiality means the amount or amounts set by the auditor at less than materiality for the financial statements as a whole to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. If applicable, performance materiality also refers to the amount or amounts set by the auditor at less than the materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures.

**Requirements**

**Determining Materiality and Performance Materiality when Planning the Audit**

10. When establishing the overall audit strategy, the auditor shall determine materiality for the financial statements as a whole. If, in the specific circumstances of the entity, there is one or more particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures for which misstatements of lesser amounts than materiality for the financial statements as a whole could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements, the auditor shall also determine the materiality level or levels to be applied to those particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures. (Ref: Para. A2-A11)
11. The auditor shall determine performance materiality for purposes of assessing the risks of material misstatement and determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures. (Ref: Para. A12)

**Revision as the Audit Progresses**

12. The auditor shall revise materiality for the financial statements as a whole (and, if applicable, the materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures) in the event of becoming aware of information during the audit that would have caused the auditor to have determined a different amount (or amounts) initially. (Ref: Para. A13)

13. If the auditor concludes that a lower materiality for the financial statements as a whole (and, if applicable, materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures) than that initially determined is appropriate, the auditor shall determine whether it is necessary to revise performance materiality, and whether the nature, timing and extent of the further audit procedures remain appropriate.

### **Documentation**

14. The audit documentation shall include the following amounts and the factors considered in their determination:
- (a) Materiality for the financial statements as a whole (see paragraph 10);
  - (b) If applicable, the materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures (see paragraph 10);
  - (c) Performance materiality (see paragraph 11); and
  - (d) Any revision of (a)-(c) as the audit progressed (see paragraphs 12-13).

\*\*\*

### **Application and Other Explanatory Material**

#### **Materiality and Audit Risk (Ref: Para. 5)**

- A1. In conducting an audit of financial statements, the overall objectives of the auditor are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, thereby enabling the auditor to express an opinion on whether the financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with an applicable financial reporting framework; and to report on the financial statements, and communicate as required by the PSAs, in accordance with the auditor's findings.<sup>4</sup> The auditor obtains reasonable assurance by obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level.<sup>5</sup> Audit risk is the risk that the auditor expresses an inappropriate audit opinion when the financial statements are materially misstated. Audit risk is a function of the risks of material misstatement

---

<sup>4</sup> PSA 200 (Revised and Redrafted), "Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Philippine Standards on Auditing," paragraph 11.

<sup>5</sup> PSA 200 (Revised and Redrafted), paragraph 17.

and detection risk.<sup>6</sup> Materiality and audit risk are considered throughout the audit, in particular, when:

- (a) Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement;<sup>7</sup>
- (b) Determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures;<sup>8</sup> and
- (c) Evaluating the effect of uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements<sup>9</sup> and in forming the opinion in the auditor's report.<sup>10</sup>

### **Determining Materiality and Performance Materiality when Planning the Audit**

#### *Considerations Specific to Public Sector Entities (Ref: Para. 10)*

- A2. In the case of a public sector entity, legislators and regulators are often the primary users of its financial statements. Furthermore, the financial statements may be used to make decisions other than economic decisions. The determination of materiality for the financial statements as a whole (and, if applicable, materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures) in an audit of the financial statements of a public sector entity is therefore influenced by legislative and regulatory requirements, and by the financial information needs of legislators and the public in relation to public sector programs.

#### *Use of Benchmarks in Determining Materiality for the Financial Statements as a Whole (Ref: Para. 10)*

- A3. Determining materiality involves the exercise of professional judgment. A percentage is often applied to a chosen benchmark as a starting point in determining materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Factors that may affect the identification of an appropriate benchmark include the following:
- The elements of the financial statements (for example, assets, liabilities, equity, revenue, expenses);

---

<sup>6</sup> PSA 200 (Revised and Redrafted), paragraph 13(c).

<sup>7</sup> PSA 315 (Redrafted), "Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatements Through Understanding the Entity and Its Environment."

<sup>8</sup> PSA 330 (Redrafted), "The Auditor's Responses to Assessed Risks."

<sup>9</sup> PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted).

<sup>10</sup> [PSA 700 (Redrafted), "Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements."]

- Whether there are items on which the attention of the users of the particular entity's financial statements tends to be focused (for example, for the purpose of evaluating financial performance users may tend to focus on profit, revenue or net assets);
  - The nature of the entity, where the entity is in its life cycle, and the industry and economic environment in which the entity operates;
  - The entity's ownership structure and the way it is financed (for example, if an entity is financed solely by debt rather than equity, users may put more emphasis on assets, and claims on them, than on the entity's earnings); and
  - The relative volatility of the benchmark.
- A4. Examples of benchmarks that may be appropriate, depending on the circumstances of the entity, include categories of reported income such as profit before tax, total revenue, gross profit and total expenses, total equity or net asset value. Profit before tax from continuing operations is often used for profit-oriented entities. When profit before tax from continuing operations is volatile, other benchmarks may be more appropriate, such as gross profit or total revenues.
- A5. In relation to the chosen benchmark, relevant financial data ordinarily includes prior periods' financial results and financial positions, the period-to-date financial results and financial position, and budgets or forecasts for the current period, adjusted for significant changes in the circumstances of the entity (for example, a significant business acquisition) and relevant changes of conditions in the industry or economic environment in which the entity operates. For example, when, as a starting point, materiality for the financial statements as a whole is determined for a particular entity based on a percentage of profit before tax from continuing operations, circumstances that give rise to an exceptional decrease or increase in such profit may lead the auditor to conclude that materiality for the financial statements as a whole is more appropriately determined using a normalized profit before tax from continuing operations figure based on past results.
- A6. Materiality relates to the financial statements on which the auditor is reporting. Where the financial statements are prepared for a financial reporting period of more or less than twelve months, such as may be the case for a new entity or a change in the financial reporting period, materiality relates to the financial statements prepared for that financial reporting period.
- A7. Determining a percentage to be applied to a chosen benchmark involves the exercise of professional judgment. There is a relationship between the percentage and the chosen benchmark, such that a percentage applied to profit before tax from continuing operations will normally be higher than a percentage applied to total revenue. For example, the auditor may consider five percent of profit before tax from continuing operations to be appropriate for a profit-oriented entity in a manufacturing industry, while the auditor may consider one percent of total

revenue or total expenses to be appropriate for a not-for-profit entity. Higher or lower percentages, however, may be deemed appropriate in the circumstances.

Considerations Specific to Small Entities

- A8. When an entity's profit before tax from continuing operations is consistently nominal, as might be the case for an owner-managed business where the owner takes much of the profit before tax in the form of remuneration, a benchmark such as profit before remuneration and tax may be more relevant.

Considerations Specific to Public Sector Entities

- A9. In an audit of a public sector entity, total cost or net cost (expenses less revenues or expenditure less receipts) may be appropriate benchmarks for program activities. Where a public sector entity has custody of public assets, assets may be an appropriate benchmark.

*Materiality Level or Levels for Particular Classes of Transactions, Account Balances or Disclosures* (Ref: Para. 10)

- A10. Factors that may indicate the existence of one or more particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures for which misstatements of lesser amounts than materiality for the financial statements as a whole could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements include the following:
- Whether law, regulation or the applicable financial reporting framework affect users' expectations regarding the measurement or disclosure of certain items (for example, related party transactions, and the remuneration of management and those charged with governance).
  - The key disclosures in relation to the industry in which the entity operates (for example, research and development costs for a pharmaceutical company).
  - Whether attention is focused on a particular aspect of the entity's business that is separately disclosed in the financial statements (for example, a newly acquired business).
- A11. In considering whether, in the specific circumstances of the entity, such classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures exist, the auditor may find it useful to obtain an understanding of the views and expectations of those charged with governance and management.

*Performance Materiality* (Ref: Para. 11)

- A12. Planning the audit solely to detect individually material misstatements overlooks the fact that the aggregate of individually immaterial misstatements may cause the financial statements to be materially misstated, and leaves no margin for possible undetected misstatements. Performance materiality (which, as defined, is one or more amounts) is set to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements in the financial statements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Similarly, performance materiality relating to a materiality level determined for a particular class of transactions, account balance or disclosure is set to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements in that particular class of transactions, account balance or disclosure exceeds the materiality level for that particular class of transactions, account balance or disclosure. The determination of performance materiality is not a simple mechanical calculation and involves the exercise of professional judgment. It is affected by the auditor's understanding of the entity, updated during the performance of the risk assessment procedures; and the nature and extent of misstatements identified in previous audits and thereby the auditor's expectations in relation to misstatements in the current period.

**Revision as the Audit Progresses** (Ref: Para. 12)

- A13. Materiality for the financial statements as a whole (and, if applicable, the materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures) may need to be revised as a result of a change in circumstances that occurred during the audit (for example, a decision to dispose of a major part of the entity's business), new information, or a change in the auditor's understanding of the entity and its operations as a result of performing further audit procedures. For example, if during the audit it appears as though actual financial results are likely to be substantially different from the anticipated period end financial results that were used initially to determine materiality for the financial statements as a whole, the auditor revises that materiality.

**Acknowledgment**

This PSA is based on International Standard on Auditing 320 (Revised and Redrafted), "Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit," issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

There are no significant differences between this PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted) and ISA 320 (Revised and Redrafted).

**PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted)**

This PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted), “Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit,” was unanimously approved for adoption on October 27, 2008 by the members of the Auditing and Assurance Standards Council.

Benjamin R. Punongbayan, *Chairman*

Felicidad A. Abad

Antonio P. Acyatan

Erwin Vincent G. Alcala

Froilan G. Ampil

David L. Balangue

Ma. Gracia F. Casals-Diaz

Eliseo A. Fernandez

Jaime P. Naranjo

Ma. Cecilia F. Ortiz

Nestorio C. Roraldo

Joaquin P. Tolentino

Editha O. Tuason

Jaime E. Ysmael

**PHILIPPINE STANDARD ON AUDITING 450\***  
**(REVISED AND REDRAFTED)**

**EVALUATION OF MISSTATEMENTS IDENTIFIED DURING THE AUDIT**

(Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2009)

**CONTENTS**

	Paragraph
<b>Introduction</b>	
Scope of this PSA.....	1
Effective Date.....	2
<b>Objective</b> .....	3
<b>Definitions</b> .....	4
<b>Requirements</b>	
Accumulation of Identified Misstatements.....	5
Consideration of Identified Misstatements as the Audit Progresses.....	6-7
Communication and Correction of Misstatements.....	8-9
Evaluating the Effect of Uncorrected Misstatements.....	10-13
Written Representation.....	14
Documentation.....	15
<b>Application and Other Explanatory Material</b>	
Misstatements.....	A1
Accumulation of Identified Misstatements.....	A2-A3
Consideration of Identified Misstatements as the Audit Progresses.....	A4-A6
Communication and Correction of Misstatements.....	A7-A10
Evaluating the Effect of Uncorrected Misstatements.....	A11-A23
Written Representation.....	A24
Documentation.....	A25

---

\* [References in this PSA to other PSAs that have not yet been finalized reflect the working titles of those PSAs. The references are indicated in brackets and will be updated when those PSAs are finalized.]

**PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted)**

**Acknowledgment**

Philippine Standard on Auditing (PSA) 450 (Revised and Redrafted), “Evaluation of Misstatements Identified during the Audit” should be read in the context of PSA 200 (Revised and Redrafted), “Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Philippine Standards on Auditing.”

## **Introduction**

### **Scope of this PSA**

1. This Philippine Standard on Auditing (PSA) deals with the auditor's responsibility to evaluate the effect of identified misstatements on the audit and of uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements. PSA 700 (Redrafted) deals with the auditor's responsibility, in forming an opinion on the financial statements, to conclude whether reasonable assurance has been obtained about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement. The auditor's conclusion required by PSA 700 (Redrafted) takes into account the auditor's evaluation of uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements, in accordance with this PSA.<sup>1</sup> PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted)<sup>2</sup> deals with the auditor's responsibility to apply the concept of materiality appropriately in planning and performing an audit of financial statements.

### **Effective Date**

2. This PSA is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2009.

### **Objective**

3. The objective of the auditor is to evaluate:
  - (a) The effect of identified misstatements on the audit; and
  - (b) The effect of uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements.

### **Definitions**

4. For purposes of the PSAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:
  - (a) Misstatement – A difference between the amount, classification, presentation, or disclosure of a reported financial statement item and the amount, classification, presentation, or disclosure that is required for the item to be in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. Misstatements can arise from error or fraud. (Ref: Para. A1)

---

<sup>1</sup> [PSA 700 (Redrafted), "Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements," paragraphs [to be inserted].]

<sup>2</sup> PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted), "Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit."

When the auditor expresses an opinion on whether the financial statements give a true and fair view or are presented fairly, in all material respects, misstatements also include those adjustments of amounts, classifications, presentation, or disclosures that, in the auditor's judgment, are necessary for the financial statements to give a true and fair view or present fairly, in all material respects.

- (b) Uncorrected misstatements – Misstatements that the auditor has accumulated during the audit and that have not been corrected.

## **Requirements**

### **Accumulation of Identified Misstatements**

- 5. The auditor shall accumulate misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are clearly trivial. (Ref: Para. A2-A3)

### **Consideration of Identified Misstatements as the Audit Progresses**

- 6. The auditor shall determine whether the overall audit strategy and audit plan need to be revised if:
  - (a) The nature of identified misstatements and the circumstances of their occurrence indicate that other misstatements may exist that, when aggregated with misstatements accumulated during the audit, could be material; or (Ref: Para. A4)
  - (b) The aggregate of misstatements accumulated during the audit approaches materiality determined in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted). (Ref: Para. A5)
- 7. If, at the auditor's request, management has examined a class of transactions, account balance or disclosure and corrected misstatements that were detected, the auditor shall perform additional audit procedures to determine whether misstatements remain. (Ref: Para. A6)

### **Communication and Correction of Misstatements**

- 8. The auditor shall communicate on a timely basis all misstatements accumulated during the audit with the appropriate level of management, unless prohibited by law or regulation.<sup>3</sup> The auditor shall request management to correct those misstatements. (Ref: Para. A7-A9)

---

<sup>3</sup> PSA 260 (Revised and Redrafted), "Communication with Those Charged with Governance," paragraph A4.

9. If management refuses to correct some or all of the misstatements communicated by the auditor, the auditor shall obtain an understanding of management's reasons for not making the corrections and shall take that understanding into account when evaluating whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement. (Ref: Para. A10)

### **Evaluating the Effect of Uncorrected Misstatements**

10. Prior to evaluating the effect of uncorrected misstatements, the auditor shall reassess materiality determined in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted) to confirm whether it remains appropriate in the context of the entity's actual financial results. (Ref: Para. A11-A12)
11. The auditor shall determine whether uncorrected misstatements are material, individually or in aggregate. In making this determination, the auditor shall consider:
  - (a) The size and nature of the misstatements, both in relation to particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures and the financial statements as a whole, and the particular circumstances of their occurrence; and (Ref: Para. A13-A17, A19-A20)
  - (b) The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods on the relevant classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, and the financial statements as a whole. (Ref: Para. A18)

### ***Communication with Those Charged with Governance***

12. The auditor shall communicate with those charged with governance uncorrected misstatements and the effect that they, individually or in aggregate, may have on the opinion in the auditor's report, unless prohibited by law or regulation.<sup>4</sup> The auditor's communication shall identify material uncorrected misstatements individually. The auditor shall request that uncorrected misstatements be corrected. (Ref: Para. A21-A23)
13. The auditor shall also communicate with those charged with governance the effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods on the relevant classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, and the financial statements as a whole.

---

<sup>4</sup> See footnote 3.

**Written Representation**

14. The auditor shall request a written representation from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance whether they believe the effects of uncorrected misstatements are immaterial, individually and in aggregate, to the financial statements as a whole. A summary of such items shall be included in or attached to the written representation. (Ref: Para. A24)

**Documentation**

15. The audit documentation shall include: (Ref: Para. A25)
- (a) The amount below which misstatements would be regarded as clearly trivial (paragraph 5);
  - (b) All misstatements accumulated during the audit and whether they have been corrected (paragraphs 5, 8 and 12); and
  - (c) The auditor's conclusion as to whether uncorrected misstatements are material, individually or in aggregate, and the basis for that conclusion (paragraph 11).

\*\*\*

**Application and Other Explanatory Material**

**Misstatements** (Ref: Para. 4(a))

- A1. Misstatements may result from:
- (a) An inaccuracy in gathering or processing data from which the financial statements are prepared;
  - (b) An omission of an amount or disclosure;
  - (c) An incorrect accounting estimate arising from overlooking, or clear misinterpretation of, facts; and
  - (d) Judgments of management concerning accounting estimates that the auditor considers unreasonable or the selection and application of accounting policies that the auditor considers inappropriate.

Examples of misstatements arising from fraud are provided in PSA 240 (Redrafted).<sup>5</sup>

**Accumulation of Identified Misstatements (Ref: Para. 5)**

- A2. The auditor may designate an amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial and would not need to be accumulated because the auditor expects that the accumulation of such amounts clearly would not have a material effect on the financial statements. “Clearly trivial” is not another expression for “not material.” Matters that are clearly trivial will be of a wholly different (smaller) order of magnitude than materiality determined in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted), and will be matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any criteria of size, nature or circumstances. When there is any uncertainty about whether one or more items are clearly trivial, the matter is considered not to be clearly trivial.
- A3. To assist the auditor in evaluating the effect of misstatements accumulated during the audit and in communicating misstatements to management and those charged with governance, it may be useful to distinguish between factual misstatements, judgmental misstatements and projected misstatements.
- Factual misstatements are misstatements about which there is no doubt.
  - Judgmental misstatements are differences arising from the judgments of management concerning accounting estimates that the auditor considers unreasonable, or the selection or application of accounting policies that the auditor considers inappropriate.
  - Projected misstatements are the auditor’s best estimate of misstatements in populations, involving the projection of misstatements identified in audit samples to the entire populations from which the samples were drawn. Guidance on the determination of projected misstatements and evaluation of the results is set out in PSA 530 (Redrafted).<sup>6</sup>

**Consideration of Identified Misstatements as the Audit Progresses (Ref: Para. 6-7)**

- A4. A misstatement may not be an isolated occurrence. Evidence that other misstatements may exist include, for example, where the auditor identifies that a misstatement arose from a breakdown in internal control or from inappropriate assumptions or valuation methods that have been widely applied by the entity.

---

<sup>5</sup> PSA 240 (Redrafted), “The Auditor’s Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements,” paragraphs A1-A6.

<sup>6</sup> PSA 530 (Redrafted), “Audit Sampling,” paragraphs 14-15.

- A5. If the aggregate of misstatements accumulated during the audit approaches materiality determined in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted), there may be a greater than acceptably low level of risk that possible undetected misstatements, when taken with the aggregate of misstatements accumulated during the audit, could exceed materiality. Undetected misstatements could exist because of the presence of sampling risk and non-sampling risk.<sup>7</sup>
- A6. The auditor may request management to examine a class of transactions, account balance or disclosure in order for management to understand the cause of a misstatement identified by the auditor, perform procedures to determine the amount of the actual misstatement in the class of transactions, account balance or disclosure, and to make appropriate adjustments to the financial statements. Such a request may be made, for example, based on the auditor's projection of misstatements identified in an audit sample to the entire population from which it was drawn.

**Communication and Correction of Misstatements (Ref: Para. 8-9)**

- A7. Timely communication of misstatements to the appropriate level of management is important as it enables management to evaluate whether the items are misstatements, inform the auditor if it disagrees, and take action as necessary. Ordinarily, the appropriate level of management is the one that has responsibility and authority to evaluate the misstatements and to take the necessary action.
- A8. Law or regulation may restrict the auditor's communication of certain misstatements to management, or others, within the entity. For example, laws or regulations may specifically prohibit a communication, or other action, that might prejudice an investigation by an appropriate authority into an actual, or suspected, illegal act. In some circumstances, potential conflicts between the auditor's obligations of confidentiality and obligations to communicate may be complex. In such cases, the auditor may consider seeking legal advice.
- A9. The correction by management of all misstatements, including those communicated by the auditor, enables management to maintain accurate accounting books and records and reduces the risks of material misstatement of future financial statements because of the cumulative effect of immaterial uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods.
- A10. PSA 700 (Redrafted) requires the auditor to evaluate whether the financial statements are prepared and presented, in all material respects, in accordance with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework. This evaluation includes consideration of the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting practices, including indicators of possible bias in management's judgments,<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> PSA 530 (Redrafted), paragraphs 5(c) and (d).

<sup>8</sup> [PSA 700 (Redrafted), paragraph [to be inserted].]

which may be affected by the auditor's understanding of management's reasons for not making the corrections.

**Evaluating the Effect of Uncorrected Misstatements (Ref: Para. 10-11)**

- A11. The auditor's determination of materiality in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted) is often based on estimates of the entity's financial results, because the actual financial results may not yet be known. Therefore, prior to the auditor's evaluation of the effect of uncorrected misstatements, it may be necessary to revise materiality determined in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted) based on the actual financial results.
- A12. PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted) explains that, as the audit progresses, materiality for the financial statements as a whole (and, if applicable, the materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures) is revised in the event of the auditor becoming aware of information during the audit that would have caused the auditor to have determined a different amount (or amounts) initially.<sup>9</sup> Thus, any significant revision is likely to have been made before the auditor evaluates the effect of uncorrected misstatements. However, if the auditor's reassessment of materiality determined in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted) (see paragraph 10 of this PSA) gives rise to a lower amount (or amounts), then performance materiality and the appropriateness of the nature, timing and extent of the further audit procedures are reconsidered so as to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base the audit opinion.
- A13. Each individual misstatement is considered to evaluate its effect on the relevant classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, including whether the materiality level for that particular class of transactions, account balance or disclosure, if any, has been exceeded.
- A14. If an individual misstatement is judged to be material, it is unlikely that it can be offset by other misstatements. For example, if revenue has been materially overstated, the financial statements as a whole will be materially misstated, even if the effect of the misstatement on earnings is completely offset by an equivalent overstatement of expenses. It may be appropriate to offset misstatements within the same account balance or class of transactions; however, the risk that further undetected misstatements may exist is considered before concluding that offsetting even immaterial misstatements is appropriate.<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted), paragraph 12.

<sup>10</sup> The identification of a number of immaterial misstatements within the same account balance or class of transactions may require the auditor to reassess the risk of material misstatement for that account balance or class of transactions.

- A15. Determining whether a classification misstatement is material involves the evaluation of qualitative considerations, such as the effect of the classification misstatement on debt or other contractual covenants, the effect on individual line items or sub-totals, or the effect on key ratios. There may be circumstances where the auditor concludes that a classification misstatement is not material in the context of the financial statements as a whole, even though it may exceed the materiality level or levels applied in evaluating other misstatements. For example, a misclassification between balance sheet line items may not be considered material in the context of the financial statements as a whole when the amount of the misclassification is small in relation to the size of the related balance sheet line items and the misclassification does not affect the income statement or any key ratios.
- A16. The circumstances related to some misstatements may cause the auditor to evaluate them as material, individually or when considered together with other misstatements accumulated during the audit, even if they are lower than materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Circumstances that may affect the evaluation include the extent to which the misstatement:
- Affects compliance with regulatory requirements;
  - Affects compliance with debt covenants or other contractual requirements;
  - Relates to the incorrect selection or application of an accounting policy that has an immaterial effect on the current period's financial statements but is likely to have a material effect on future periods' financial statements;
  - Masks a change in earnings or other trends, especially in the context of general economic and industry conditions;
  - Affects ratios used to evaluate the entity's financial position, results of operations or cash flows;
  - Affects segment information presented in the financial statements (for example, the significance of the matter to a segment or other portion of the entity's business that has been identified as playing a significant role in the entity's operations or profitability);
  - Has the effect of increasing management compensation, for example, by ensuring that the requirements for the award of bonuses or other incentives are satisfied;
  - Is significant having regard to the auditor's understanding of known previous communications to users, for example, in relation to forecast earnings;

**PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted)**

- Relates to items involving particular parties (for example, whether external parties to the transaction are related to members of the entity's management);
- Is an omission of information not specifically required by the applicable financial reporting framework but which, in the judgment of the auditor, is important to the users' understanding of the financial position, financial performance or cash flows of the entity; or
- Affects other information that will be communicated in documents containing the audited financial statements (for example, information to be included in a "Management Discussion and Analysis" or an "Operating and Financial Review") that may reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of the users of the financial statements. PSA 720 (Redrafted)<sup>11</sup> deals with the auditor's consideration of other information, on which the auditor has no obligation to report, in documents containing audited financial statements.

These circumstances are only examples; not all are likely to be present in all audits nor is the list necessarily complete. The existence of any circumstances such as these does not necessarily lead to a conclusion that the misstatement is material.

- A17. PSA 240 (Redrafted)<sup>12</sup> explains how the implications of a misstatement that is, or may be, the result of fraud ought to be considered in relation to other aspects of the audit, even if the size of the misstatement is not material in relation to the financial statements.
- A18. The cumulative effect of immaterial uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods may have a material effect on the current period's financial statements. There are different acceptable approaches to the auditor's evaluation of such uncorrected misstatements on the current period's financial statements. Using the same evaluation approach provides consistency from period to period.

*Considerations Specific to Public Sector Entities*

- A19. In the case of an audit of a public sector entity, the evaluation whether a misstatement is material may also be affected by legislation or regulation and additional responsibilities for the auditor to report other matters, including, for example, fraud.

---

<sup>11</sup> PSA 720 (Redrafted), "The Auditor's Responsibility in Relation to Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements."

<sup>12</sup> PSA 240 (Redrafted), paragraph 35.

- A20. Furthermore, issues such as public interest, accountability, probity and ensuring effective legislative oversight, in particular, may affect the assessment whether an item is material by virtue of its nature. This is particularly so for items that relate to compliance with regulation, legislation or other authority.

*Communication with Those Charged with Governance* (Ref: Para. 12)

- A21. If uncorrected misstatements have been communicated with person(s) with management responsibilities, and those person(s) also have governance responsibilities, they need not be communicated again with those same person(s) in their governance role. The auditor nonetheless has to be satisfied that communication with person(s) with management responsibilities adequately informs all of those with whom the auditor would otherwise communicate in their governance capacity.<sup>13</sup>
- A22. Where there is a large number of individual immaterial uncorrected misstatements, the auditor may communicate the number and overall monetary effect of the uncorrected misstatements, rather than the details of each individual uncorrected misstatement.
- A23. PSA 260 (Redrafted) requires the auditor to communicate with those charged with governance the written representations the auditor is requesting (see paragraph 14 of this PSA).<sup>14</sup> The auditor may discuss with those charged with governance the reasons for, and the implications of, a failure to correct misstatements, having regard to the size and nature of the misstatement judged in the surrounding circumstances, and possible implications in relation to future financial statements.

**Written Representation** (Ref: Para. 14)

- A24. Because management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance are responsible for adjusting the financial statements to correct material misstatements, the auditor is required to request them to provide a written representation about uncorrected misstatements. In some circumstances, management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance may not believe that certain uncorrected misstatements are misstatements. For that reason, they may want to add to their written representation words such as: “We do not agree that items ... and ... constitute misstatements because [description of reasons].” Obtaining this representation does not, however, relieve the auditor of the need to form a conclusion on the effect of uncorrected misstatements.

---

<sup>13</sup> PSA 260 (Revised and Redrafted), paragraph 9.

<sup>14</sup> PSA 260 (Revised and Redrafted), paragraph 12(c)(iii).

**Documentation** (Ref: Para. 15)

A25. The auditor's documentation of uncorrected misstatements may take into account:

- (a) The consideration of the aggregate effect of uncorrected misstatements;
- (b) The evaluation of whether the materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, if any, have been exceeded; and
- (c) The evaluation of the effect of uncorrected misstatements on key ratios or trends, and compliance with legal, regulatory and contractual requirements (for example, debt covenants).

**Acknowledgment**

This PSA is based on International Standard on Auditing 450 (Revised and Redrafted), "Evaluation of Misstatements Identified during the Audit," issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

There are no significant differences between this PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted) and ISA 450 (Revised and Redrafted).

**PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted)**

This PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted), “Evaluation of Misstatements Identified during the Audit,” was unanimously approved for adoption on October 27, 2008 by the members of the Auditing and Assurance Standards Council.

Benjamin R. Punongbayan, *Chairman*

Felicidad A. Abad

Antonio P. Acyatan

Erwin Vincent G. Alcala

Froilan G. Ampil

David L. Balangue

Ma. Gracia F. Casals-Diaz

Eliseo A. Fernandez

Jaime P. Naranjo

Ma. Cecilia F. Ortiz

Nestorio C. Roraldo

Joaquin P. Tolentino

Editha O. Tuason

Jaime E. Ysmael

**CONFORMING AMENDMENTS AS A RESULT OF  
PSA 320 (REVISED AND REDRAFTED), MATERIALITY IN  
PLANNING AND PERFORMING AN AUDIT**

**PSA 230 (Redrafted), “Audit Documentation”**

A17. ... Examples of matters that may be documented together in the audit of a smaller entity include the understanding of the entity and its internal control, the overall audit strategy and audit plan, materiality determined in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted),<sup>1</sup> assessed risks, significant matters noted during the audit, and conclusions reached.

**PSA 260 (Revised and Redrafted), “Communication with Those Charged with Governance”**

A15. Communication regarding the planned scope and timing of the audit may:

- (a) Assist those charged with governance to understand better the consequences of the auditor’s work, to discuss issues of risk and the concept of materiality with the auditor, and to identify any areas in which they may request the auditor to undertake additional procedures ...

A17. Matters communicated may include: ...

- The application of the concept of materiality in the context of an audit.

**PSA 300 (Redrafted), “Planning an Audit of Financial Statements”**  
**Appendix**  
**Considerations in Establishing the Overall Audit Strategy**

**Significant Factors, Preliminary Engagement Activities, and Knowledge Gained on Other Engagements**

- The determination of ~~appropriate materiality levels~~ in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted),<sup>2</sup> and, where applicable ~~including~~:
  - ~~Setting materiality for planning purposes.~~

---

<sup>1</sup> PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted), “Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit.”

<sup>2</sup> PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted), “Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit.”

**PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted)**  
**PSA 450 (Revised and Redrafted)**

- o ~~Setting~~ Determination of materiality for components and communicationg materiality for thereof to component auditors of components in accordance with PSA 600 (Revised and Redrafted).<sup>3</sup>
- o — ~~Reconsidering materiality as audit procedures are performed during the course of the audit.~~
- o Preliminary identification of ~~material~~ significant components and material classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures.

**PSA 315 (Redrafted), “Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement Through Understanding the Entity and Its Environment”**

- A1. ... The understanding establishes a frame of reference within which the auditor plans to audit and exercise professional judgment throughout the audit, for example, when: ...
- ~~Establishing~~ Determining materiality in accordance with PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted) and evaluating whether the judgment about materiality remains appropriate as the audit progresses;<sup>4</sup>...

**PSA 540 (Revised and Redrafted), “Auditing Accounting Estimates, Including Fair Value Accounting Estimates, and Related Disclosures”**

- A94. Ordinarily, a range that has been narrowed to be equal to or less ~~than the amount lower than the materiality level for the financial statements as a whole determined for purposes of assessing risks of material misstatement, and designing further audit procedures~~ performance materiality is adequate for the purposes of evaluating the reasonableness of management’s point estimate ...
- A122. ... The auditor’s evaluation of the adequacy of disclosure of estimation uncertainty increases in importance the greater the range of possible outcomes of the accounting estimate is in relation to materiality (see related discussion in paragraph A9594).

---

<sup>3</sup> PSA 600 (Revised and Redrafted), “Special Considerations—Audits of Group Financial Statements (Including the Work of Component Auditors),” paragraphs 21-23 and 40(c).

<sup>4</sup> PSA 320 (Revised and Redrafted), “Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit.”

**PSA 600 (Revised and Redrafted), “Special Considerations—Audits of Group Financial Statements (Including the Work of Component Auditors)”**

9. For purposes of the PSAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below: ...
- (d) Component materiality – The materiality ~~level~~ for a component determined by the group engagement team ...
21. The group engagement team shall determine the following: (Ref: Para. A42)
- (a) ~~The m~~Materiality ~~level~~ for the group financial statements as a whole when establishing the overall group audit strategy.
- (b) ~~Whether, If,~~ in the specific circumstances of the group, there are particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures in the group financial statements for which misstatements of lesser amounts than ~~the~~ materiality ~~level~~ for the group financial statements as a whole could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the group financial statements. ~~In such circumstances, the group engagement team shall determine~~ the materiality level or levels to be applied to those particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures.
- (c) Component materiality for those components where component auditors will perform an audit or a review for purposes of the group audit. To reduce to an appropriately low level the risk probability that the aggregate of ~~detected~~ uncorrected and undetected misstatements in the group financial statements exceeds ~~the~~ materiality ~~level~~ for the group financial statements as a whole, component materiality shall be lower than ~~the~~ materiality ~~level~~ for the group financial statements as a whole. (Ref: Para. A43-A44)
- (d) The threshold above which misstatements cannot be regarded as clearly trivial to the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A45)
22. Where component auditors will perform an audit for purposes of the group audit, the group engagement team shall evaluate the appropriateness of ~~the amount or amounts lower than the component materiality level (and the amount or amounts lower than the materiality level for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, if applicable)~~ performance materiality determined ~~for purposes of assessing the risks of material misstatement and designing further audit procedures to respond to assessed risks at the component level.~~ (Ref: Para. A46)

23. When a component is subject to audit by statute, regulation or other reason, and the group engagement team decides to use that audit to provide audit evidence for the group audit, the group engagement team shall determine whether:
- (a) ~~the materiality level determined~~ for the component financial statements as a whole; and
  - (b) ~~the lower amounts determined for purposes of assessing the risks of material misstatement and designing further audit procedures to respond to assessed risks~~ performance materiality at the component level

meet the requirements of this PSA.

40. The group engagement team shall communicate its requirements to the component auditor on a timely basis. This communication shall set out the work to be performed, the use to be made of that work, and the form and content of the component auditor's communication with the group engagement team. (Ref: Para. A57, A58, A60) It shall also include the following: ...
- (c) In the case of an audit or review of the financial information of the component, component materiality (and, if applicable, the amount or amounts lower than the materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, if applicable) and the threshold above which misstatements cannot be regarded as clearly trivial to the group financial statements ...

A42. The auditor is required:

- (a) When establishing the overall audit strategy, to determine:
  - (i) ~~a m~~ Materiality level for the financial statements as a whole; and
  - (ii) ~~(b) When establishing the overall audit strategy, to consider whether, If~~ in the specific circumstances of the entity, there are particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures for which misstatements of lesser amounts than ~~the materiality level~~ for the financial statements as a whole could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements. ~~In such circumstances, the auditor determines~~ the materiality level or levels to be applied to those particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures; and
- (e) ~~To determine one or more amounts lower than the materiality level for the financial statements as a whole for purposes of assessing the risks of material misstatement and designing further audit procedures to respond to assessed risks~~ performance materiality.

In the context of a group audit, materiality ~~levels are~~ is established for both the group financial statements as a whole, and for the financial information of the components. ~~The m~~Materiality level for the group financial statements as a whole is used when establishing the overall group audit strategy.

- A43. To reduce to an appropriately low level the ~~risk~~probability that the aggregate of ~~detected uncorrected~~ and undetected misstatements in the group financial statements exceeds ~~the~~ materiality level for the group financial statements as a whole, ~~the~~ the component materiality level is set lower than ~~the group~~ materiality level for the group financial statements as a whole. Different component materiality ~~levels~~ may be established for different components. ~~The e~~Component materiality level need not be an arithmetical portion of ~~the group~~ materiality level for the group financial statements as a whole and, consequently, the aggregate of ~~the~~ the component materiality levels for the different components may exceed ~~the~~ group materiality level for the group financial statements as a whole. ~~The~~ eComponent materiality level is used when establishing the overall audit strategy for a component.
- A44. Component materiality ~~levels are~~ is determined for those components whose financial information will be audited or reviewed as part of the group audit in accordance with paragraphs 26, 27(a) and 29. Component materiality is used by the component auditor to evaluate whether uncorrected detected misstatements are material, individually or in the aggregate.
- A45. A threshold for misstatements is determined in addition to ~~the~~ the component materiality levels. Misstatements identified in the financial information of the component that are above the threshold for misstatements are communicated to the group engagement team.
- A46. In the case of an audit of the financial information of a component, the component auditor (or group engagement team) determines ~~one or more amounts lower than the component materiality level for purposes of assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial information of the component and to design further audit procedures in response to assessed risks~~ performance materiality at the component level. This is necessary to reduce to an appropriately low level the ~~risk~~ probability that the aggregate of ~~detected uncorrected~~ and undetected misstatements in the financial information of the component exceeds ~~the~~ the component materiality level. In practice, the group engagement team may set component materiality at this lower level. Where this is the case, the component auditor uses component materiality for purposes of assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial information of the component and to design further audit procedures in response to assessed risks as well as for evaluating whether detected misstatements are material individually or in the aggregate.

## Appendix 5

### **Required and Additional Matters Included in the Group Engagement Team's Letter of Instruction**

**Matters required by this PSA to be communicated to the component auditor are shown in italicized text.**

Matters that are relevant to the planning of the work of the component auditor: ...

- *In the case of an audit or review of the financial information of the component, component materiality (and, if applicable, the materiality level or levels for particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures), and the threshold above which misstatements cannot be regarded as clearly trivial to the group financial statements ...*